

HILTON PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE PARISH COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY 31ST JANUARY 2007 AT HILTON VILLAGE HALL COMMENCING AT 7.00PM

PRESENT:

Councillor: L Kolkman (in the Chair)

Councillors: D Smalley, D Allen, P Hickinbottom, P Walton-Knight, J Keery, A Plenderleith and G Banton

SDDC Representatives – Mr Frank McArdle, Mr Mark Alflat, Ms Gillian Coates and Ms Lorraine Neave were in attendance.

1 South Derbyshire District Council Member, 1 County Council Member, 1 Member of the Burton Mail and 36 members of the Public were also in attendance.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

1. Discussion on the Leisure Centre at Etwall and the 2.5 million 106 money – With Mr Frank McArdle and Mr Mark Alflat.

The Chairperson opened the meeting and thanked everybody for attending.

Mr Frank McArdle the Chief Executive at SDDC said that it was most important that he attended the meeting but explained that he could not stay due to a prior engagement. He explained that he had an award to present to a 6 year old boy at the Bretby Golf Club. The 6 year old boy moves obstacles out of his mother's way, she has spinabiffida. Mr Frank McArdle explained that Mr Mark Alflat from SDDC would take the meeting on his behalf. He explained that it was extremely important to cooperate with each other now and in the future, not like in the past 10 years. The future is looking bright.

Mr Frank McArdle was presented with a petition from residents with regards to the recycling problems within the Village, he then left the meeting. Lorraine Neave was also given a copy.

The Chair firstly introduced everybody from left to right. She explained that the meeting would run a little differently due to Public Participation being aimed towards a question and answer session following presentations given by the officers from SDDC. The presentations would cover Section 106 monies attached to planning applications and the problems that everybody was experiencing within the village over recycling sites.

The Chair asked all members present if they were familiar with the term, Section 106 monies. The Chair explained that this was an agreement between the Developers and SDDC in the form of monies or donation of land for specific use. For example, Land for the new block at the school, the Mease football pitch, land around the Village Hall

for recreational use. This was then written into an agreement called 106 and an application for contributions ensures that these requests are carried out.

The Chair handed the meeting over to Mr Mark Alflat to explain in more detail, the Section 106 agreement which had been attached to the developments in Hilton in particular the latest one, The new development just below Hilton Garage which was for industrial use and then this was changed to residential use carrying an amount of 2.5 million which had all since gone towards the 6.5 to 7 million project for a new Leisure Centre and Swimming Pool at Etwall. The Chair explained that background information on this matter in the form of printouts of articles taken from the Burton Mail website regarding the announcement by SDDC for this money to go to the new Leisure Centre and the reaction from Hilton Parish Council also in an article from the Derby Evening Telegraph in which Peter Robins from St. Modwens talks about the expansion in Hilton, This information was situated on the seats around the room. The Chair explained that members could ask questions after Mr Mark Alflat's presentation.

Mr Mark Alflat thanked the Chair and explained the money that the Council gets from the Government is not community money other than for Grants. He explained that SDDC had 3 ways of raising extra revenue.

- By way of 106 agreements
- By way of selling off assets for example: land that is no longer required.
- By way of the right to buy your council house scheme – this scheme is then split 50/50 between the Council and the Government.

Mark explained that SDDC have a clear view that all villages should have a Village Plan. He explained that Hilton is the first village to complete a Village Plan. He explained that because of the Village Plan that Hilton had done Mr Paul Watts at SDDC had been employed as the Project Manager for Hilton for the next 3 years. His job would be to look for Grant/Match Funding for Phase 2/3. He explained that Phase 1, the Pavilion on the Mease, the Skate Board Park and the employment of Paul Watts had now been completed.

Mark explained that to replace the ageing swimming pool at the John Port School would cost around 2 million pounds, this would just be a quick fix and could not be guaranteed by the contractors for 10 years. With this in mind SDDC had decided that it would be much better to provide a brand new complete sports hall and swimming pool including a 6 lane sports hall that will be up to Badminton England standards. This had always been the aim of SDDC to provide an up to date facility for the community. The project will cost around 5.5 million of which SDDC have given 3 million of the 106 monies. The Chair explained that she was confused and referred to the copy of the 106 agreement that stated the original monies was only 2.5 million not 3 million as Mark had stated.

A member of the public asked Mark the question as to how long the old swimming pool would be out of use whilst the new one is being built. Mr Mark Alflat replied that the old pool would not be closed until such time as the new pool was up and running. A member of the public explained that he liked the old pool and could not see why a new one needs to be built. Mark explained that SDDC had employed a Structural Engineer to inspect the old pool and the outcome was bleak. A member of

the public asked Mark the question would the access to the new pool be separate to the school. Mark replied that it would be a different access.

Mark was asked by a member of the public if the Parish Council had been consulted over the distribution of the 106 monies. Mark replied that the Parish Council had not been involved in the consultation but the decision did go to the full committee at SDDC. A member of the public asked the question as to whether the residents should have been consulted on the distribution of the 106 monies as they were the ones that were affected by all the growing development. Mark replied that SDDC work very closely with villages, but he could not comment on what mistakes had been made in the past. The Chair explained to the members of the public that it was as much as a shock to the Parish Council that the 2.5 million 106 monies had gone towards the new Leisure Centre at Etwall as it was to the member of the public. She explained that the first the Parish Council knew was when it was advertised on the front of the Burton Mail on Saturday 25th November 2006. The Chair also explained that she, Cllr Keery and the Clerk to the Parish Council attended a meeting with Mr Chris Mason and Mr Mark Alflat at the SDDC offices on Monday 27th November 2006 whereby this matter had been discussed. This was a rearranged meeting as the original meeting should have taken place a week previous but was cancelled by SDDC, we now know why.

The Chair explained that we had no infrastructure within the village but SDDC had employed Paul Watts as the project manager for the next 3 years. The Chair asked Mark if this meant that the projects within Hilton were going to take 3 years to deliver the Village Plan. Mark did not reply to this question. The Chair explained that we had been promised more 106 monies in the future but this was just a double edged sword as it would mean more development within Hilton. Mark did not comment on this matter. The Chair explained that the intake at the local primary school was already full for September 2007 and the school has had to close its list. She also explained that the Doctor's surgery was in need of an extension or it soon will have to close its list to new patients. The Doctors surgery can only accommodate 10,000.00 patients and they are already up to 9,000.00. The new 2,500.00 residents coming into the village will not have any medical care. The Chair referred to the 8 outcomes (Application of Contributions) contained within the 106 agreement and explained that with the 2.5 million going to Etwall none of these would be covered. She also explained that the clasp extension needed at the Village Hall would cost an estimated 1 million, this is money that the new project manager will have to find. The Chair explained that the needs for a new Leisure Centre far outweighed the needs of the village. Mark replied that there will be negotiated levies for Education and PCT's that are done separately. The Chair asked if in future SDDC could negotiate with the Parish Council with regards to the villages needs first. She explained that the Parish Council and SDDC will now struggle to afford the ongoing projects within the village of Hilton. Mark replied that SDDC had always said that they had made mistakes within Hilton, but they have learnt from them and they will not happen again. The Chair asked so why is this still happening within Hilton. Mark did not comment.

Cllr Littlejohn reported that she had in the past always tried to attend Hilton Parish Council Meetings as she was one of the ward members. Cllr Littlejohn explained that she was very mindful that the Chair and representatives of the Parish Council were to have a meeting with Mr Chris Mason and Mr Mark Alflat at SDDC but unfortunately this had to be cancelled and rescheduled for the 27th November 2006. This meeting

took place after the Committee Meeting at SDDC that discussed the issue of the 106 monies being given to Etwall for the Leisure Centre. Cllr Littlejohn said that she was dismayed that the meeting could not have taken place before it appeared in the Burton Mail. Cllr Littlejohn said that she needed to explain that she had always supported the new Leisure Centre and Swimming Pool at Etwall, in light of the crumbling old pool that was not up to health and safety standards. Cllr Littlejohn explained that she had proudly sat on the Committee for this for many years. Everybody was disappointed when the lottery bid failed. Cllr Littlejohn said that a common sense approach had to be taken with regards to this injection of money. It would allow the new pool to be up and running before the old pool needed to be closed. Cllr Littlejohn explained that it had taken 12 years to get this project up and running although she was also disappointed that all of the 106 money had been given to the Etwall project.

Cllr Hood supported Cllr Littlejohn in everything that she had said but added that when he became a Councillor he had a dinner party and invited the Directors of companies like Pirelli, Rolls Royce, Toyota etc to talk about trying to get match funding against the lottery bid but once this failed they lost that contact with these companies and they were not interested anymore. Cllr Hood explained that the Leisure Centre would benefit everybody.

A member of the public asked as to why the new Leisure Centre had to be built at the John Port School. Mark replied that this was to get the local school involved in the running cost of the Leisure Centre as SDDC could not afford the running cost on their own, the land had also been provided by the school, it would be a partnership between the school and the Council.

A member of the public commented that the local school was full to bursting and some parents had to take their children out of the village to other schools. The member of the public also explained that the transport to the John Port School was very poor. Mark replied that SDDC were looking into an integrated transport plan with the County Council on this matter.

Mark explained that the reason for the Leisure Centre needing to be done now was due to rising cost, the longer it was put on hold the more the cost rise. The Chair replied that in the Hilton project fund we only have £121k left for phase 2/3 and match funding will need to be sought by Paul Watts over the next 3 years, the clasp extension to the Village Hall will cost 1 million on its own. This means that rising costs also affect us the longer we wait. The Chair asked if there was any other funding that Hilton could get from either District or County Council. Mark replied that SDDC had no further money to give but Paul Watts was very good at getting funding. He also explained that the Green Bank Leisure Centre at Swadlincote was in desperate need of repairs the same as every where else. Mark said that he was disappointed that the label Etwall Leisure Centre had been put against the Leisure Centre project. The Chair replied that this was not the case it will be a good facility but at Hiltons expenses.

The Chair reported on the 121 houses planning application at the Don Amott Land and explained that this would be phase 1 of a possible 3 phases. The Chair explained that she had spoken to SDDC with regards to getting a copy of the 106 agreement but this had not yet been finalised. This is due to go to committee on 13th February 2007.

The Chair explained that yet again the Parish Council will not get any say in what is agreed within this 106 agreement. Mark replied that the Parish Council get the opportunity to write to SDDC and ask for what they would like to be contained/considered within this agreement. The Chair replied that this was not how it worked, the Parish Council only gets to comment/object on the planning application itself.

Mark explained that most Council's do not negotiate 106 agreements with developers but SDDC do. Cllr Keery asked if the new development at Etwall had a 106 agreements attached to it and did this money also go towards the Leisure Centre. Mark did not comment on this matter. He was also asked if the Doctors and School knew about the 106 agreement for the Don Amott site and have they had any say in it. Mark replied that this would be part of the consultation. Cllr Walton-Knight commented that there was no such thing as a free lunch, the people that pay the 106 monies were the people that purchase the houses.

A Member of the public reported that the new Scouts and Guides building would be for community use, the revenue made would go back into the running of the Scouts and Guides. She explained that the Scouts and Guides had only been given £70k by SDDC towards this project yet the project had taken hundreds of volunteer man hours to try and get the project up and running. She explained the total cost of the project would cost around £400k. Mark explained that his wife was a Scout and Guides Club Leader and he knew exactly how much time they put in. This is why they have employed Paul Watts. Mark could not comment on how the figure of £70k had been arrived at but he was aware that they were currently trying to get lottery funding towards the project. He explained that he had been to look at the condition of the original hut and it was in a poor state of repair. The member of the public explained that the £70k was not enough towards the new building. Mark replied that with respect, if the lottery is successful this should help to replace the building or that was what he had been told by the other leaders.

A Member of the public commented that SDDC must have been making interest on the 2.5 million. Mark replied that this money had also been accounted for. Mark said that he was disappointed that everybody at the meeting seemed to be negative about the project of the new Leisure Centre. A member of the public asked if the cost for the Leisure Centre could be reduced. Mark replied that it had already been reduced substantially. The original cost started out at around 12 million which had been trimmed down to 6.5 million.

The Chair explained that the 106 monies initially go to SDDC and they decide where it is to be spent, the Parish Council have no input/consultation on this matter. The Chair also explained that the Mease project would have a shortfall of around £30k, this gap had been plugged by SDDC. Hilton Parish Council had also been informed that it would have to foot the bill of around £45k to look after, for the next 10 years some Great Crested Newts that the developers of the Wimpey Site had captured and removed. This money will come out of the 106 project money for Hilton. At this point in the meeting the Chair refers to a letter received from a member of the public. Mark replied that he had been given the answer to this question and all that he could say was that this matter was still under negotiation. Mark said that negotiations/consultation had always happened between SDDC and the Parish

Council. The Chair replied that this was so with regards to the £10k that the Parish Council asked for but with regards to anything else the Parish Council had no consultation. The Parish Council only found out about the cost of the newts when the Clerk received a balance sheet from Mr Chris Mason that she had asked for. Mark said that SDDC had already given the Parish Council an extra £30k for a project that would have fallen over if they had not given the money, he said that SDDC would do this again in the future if it was needed. Mark said that if it was correct that the Parish Council were not being informed/consulted on matters, then this would stop tonight. He will take this matter back to the office with him and find out what had gone wrong.

Mark rounded off by saying that Paul Watts would follow the Hilton projects through for the next 3 years. He explained that anybody could raise money but somebody had to drive the projects through. With regards to the 106 monies Mark said that he took on board all of the comments that had been made and a new protocol for the 106 agreements was due to be published shortly.

2. Discussion on Recycling matters within the village – With Lorraine Neave and Gillian Coates from SDDC.

The Chair welcomed Lorraine Neave and Gillian Coates and thanked them for attending the meeting.

Gillian Coates explained the history of recycling within the village from the very beginning to the very end. She explained that the recycling on Main Street had been in place for many years, since 1994. This site was used very well by members of the public and the tonnage was excellent. She explained that she was upset with regards to some photographs that she showed at the meeting. The photographs showed the Main Street recycling site in a very poor state over the Christmas and New Year Period. The car park was full of fly tipped rubbish. She also showed photographs of what the recycling site looks like on a clean day. She explained that some residents do not squash down boxes and leave them at the side of the bins. This encourages the next person to think that the bins are full so they do not bother to check and just put their rubbish at the side of the bin, before you know it the car park is full of rubbish but the bins are empty.

A member of the public asked as to why the village could not have its own land fill site as Newhall is too far away. Mark replied that the County Council were the Waste Disposal Facility, SDDC are lobbying to try and get one in South Derbyshire. He also explained that SDDC were in the process of reinstating the Refuse Collection Service. Gillian explained that most of the rubbish dumped at the Main Street Site contained household waste. The Clean Team had to clear this away. She explained that it costs around £47.00 per hour for the Clean Team £100.00 per week just for Hilton. Gillian asked if residents could take registration numbers of any vehicles caught fly tipping and pass them onto SDDC. SDDC do have a team that comes to Hilton on a regular basis to try and catch fly tippers. The Clean Team were also taking names and addresses from the rubbish that was being fly tipped and issuing fixed penalty fines to these people. This may relieve some of the problems in the future once residents realise that they will be prosecuted for fly tipping. Gillian explained that it was not all residents that were abusing the system just a selected few. A

member of the public asked if the Clean Team would clear his garden as rubbish blows over from the Main Street Site. Gillian asked him where he lived. The member of the public replied just next door. Gillian replied that the Clean Team would go out and clean the rubbish from his garden if he were to ring in and complain.

Gillian explained that the recycling site on Welland Road was only a temporary measure to ease the problems on Main Street. This had now been relocated to the White Swan Public House.

Gillian Coates explained that SDDC were actively looking for other recycling sites within the village. She also reported that they had installed a recycling site at the White Swan Public House which was for cardboard and plastics and a recycling site at the local school for cardboard and plastics, these were installed in November 2006.

Gillian explained that they had been looking into other sites for recycling like Tesco, Hilton Brook Public House, Wellbrook Medical Centre, St Modwens Industrial Estate, The Mease Sports Ground and the Village Hall Car Park. SDDC had approached all of these but they have all been blocked. A member of the public replied that the Developers should be made to install recycling sites as part of their works.

Gillian explained that the school did not want any press releases with regards to the recycling site that they had. Cllr Plenderleith replied that on behalf of the school, they did not want to advertise in the press due to it being a safety issue and the fact that the recycling site was only small. Cllr Plenderleith also said that this recycling site was situated in the wrong place, Cllr Littlejohn agreed that it was a safety issue. All other Parish Councillors and members of the public agreed with this comment. Gillian replied that she would need to speak with the school on this matter but the school does get a monetary contribution towards having recycling on site. The money that they receive is worked out on the tonnage that is collected from the school site.

Gillian explained that SDDC are looking into other means of recycling within the village for example the brown bin scheme.

Cllr Banton explained that the sites available for recycling in the village are unsuitable and that there seemed to be a lack of care from some residents. He explained that a piece of land adjacent to Huntsville Road had been earmarked for community use, he asked the question as to why this land could not be used as a recycling site. It was agreed that the residents of Huntsville Road may not like recycling on this piece of land but the residents on Main Street have had to get use to it. Gillian replied that she would like to have a meeting with representatives of the Parish Council to look at other options for recycling within the village.

The Chair asked Gillian as to why the Mease site and the Industrial site were rejected. She also explained that the Football Committee and the Parish Council strongly agreed to the Mease site but were told that it was not suitable. Gillian replied that the developers decided that it was not suitable for heavy vehicles. She also explained that the Industrial site was rejected due to a security problem. Lorraine Neave read out a letter received from St. Modwens with regards to the Industrial Estate. St. Modwens had said that it would compromise security on the site and with the heavy goods

vehicles this would cause a health and safety issue. The Chair replied a retail unit was situated on the Industrial Estate that members of the public use but St. Modwens are not bothered by this. She also explained that the Parish Council and SDDC needed to be putting more pressure on St. Modwens as the developers, to allow for more recycling sites or they need to allocate a piece of land by Tesco's for this purpose.

The Chair informs all members of the Civic Amenity collection dates as follows:

- 12th May 2007 – Main Street and the Mease
- 21st July 2007 – Main Street and the Mease
- 27th October 2007 – Main Street and the Mease
- 1st March 2008 – Main Street and the Mease

The Parish Council will arrange to have the above information posted in the Hilton Directory. We will also try and get a list from SDDC as to what can/can not be put in. Mark replied that he would try and get this information for the Parish Council and the reason as to why some things can not be collected for example: fridges and freezers can not be taken to these sites.

A member of the public commented that recycling should be installed on the Don Amott site before they are allowed to start building. Mark explained that this would be part of the planning applications in the future.

The Chair asked Gillian if the Clean Team recycle the rubbish that they collect when the recycling bins are full or was it just put into landfill?. Gillian replied that it was just put into landfill as it can not be recycled as the rubbish is usually contaminated. Lorraine Neave explained that they had installed more recycling bins at the Main Street site and the Clean Team collect from this site every Tuesday and Friday.

A member of the public explained that the petition from the residents had 150 signatures and it was growing by the day. Most residents were sick of all the mess.

A member of the public commented that the residents around the recycling site on Main Street were elderly residents. If there was to be a fire some of these residents could be in danger.

Another member of the public commented that maybe we should not have any recycling sites within the village but just have the curb side collections. This would save the Council and the Tax Payers money as SDDC could then abolish the Clean Team. Mark replied that it was a fact that more rubbish was collected from the curb side than the recycling sites but it does cost a lot more money to collect from the curb side. The Clean Team was a group of 2 men that drive around in a van collecting fly tipped rubbish.

A member of the public asked as to why the bins on the Main Street site were emptied at 6.30am as the signs say 8am till 8pm. Mark replied that Main Street was looked after by a private company but he would look into this matter.

Gillian explained that SDDC were trying to resolve the recycling problems within the village and would have a meeting with representatives from the Parish Council in the near future.

The Chair thanks everybody for participating in the meeting and said that it had been very informative – frustrating, but informative.

POLICE MATTERS

There was nothing to report.

COUNTY COUNCILLOR'S REPORT

Cllr Frank Hood was present at the meeting.

Cllr Hood reported that talks are ongoing with regards to putting extra cushions on Main Street, this would be to resolve the problem of vehicles driving through the middle of the street calming measures already in place, this had now gone back to consultation.

Cllr Hood reported that the gypsy's reported in the previous minutes page 436 had now moved to Burnaston. This situation had gone back to the legal department to start the ball rolling again to have them moved on.

Cllr Hood reported on a loose manhole cover on the road from the Mease to the Talbot Garden Centre. A resident has reported this and this was now being dealt with by the County Council.

Cllr Hood reported that he had signed the application form for Dave Tolly to become an LEA Governor.

Cllr Hood reported that he thought that the turning circle at the school was to go ahead. When he got home that night he had received an email from Roger Caisley at Environmental Services (Cllr Hood read out the email which said the following)

- When the extension to the school was considered the committee asked that the possible provision of a drop off point be considered as part of the revised travel plan, which was to be approved prior to occupation of the extension to the school. The revised travel plan had been prepared by the school and did not suggest the need for the drop off point. The travel plan had now been approved.

Cllr Hood said that he was concerned with the content of the email and how it read. It looks like the school had done the travel plan with no consultation with the Parish Council. It was agreed that Cllr Hood would take this matter back with him, it was also agreed that Cllr Plenderleith would speak with the school and gain a copy of the travel plan for information. Cllr Hood said that if the school had done a travel plan and not included the turning circle, then we were dead in the water and there will be nothing that we can do to change the decision.

DISTRICT COUNCILLOR'S REPORT

Cllr Walton sent her apologies.

Cllr Littlejohn was present at the meeting.

There was nothing else to report.

1. MINUTE NUMBER 258/07 - APOLOGIES

No apologies were submitted on behalf of the Parish Council due to their being a full attendance.

2. MINUTE NUMBER 259/07 – DECLARATION OF MEMBERS INTERESTS

Cllr Banton declared a personal interest in the following Planning Applications:

Item C on the agenda under Planning Matters - Items for Decision.

Reg No 9 2006 1476 FH – The conversion of a garage into living accommodation at 31 Peacroft Lane, Hilton

3. MINUTE NUMBER 260/07 - TO CONFIRM THE NON EXEMPT MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 3RD JANUARY 2007.

The Minutes of the Meeting held on Wednesday 3rd January 2007 having been circulated were approved and signed as a true record. This was after corrections were made to spellings on the original copy.

4. MINUTE NUMBER 261/07 – TO DETERMIN WHICH ITEM IF ANY FROM PART 1 OF THE AGENDA SHOULD BE TAKEN WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED.

No items from part 1 of the agenda were taken with the public excluded.

5. MINUTE NUMBER 262/07 - REPORTS FROM COUNCILLOR'S THAT HAVE ATTENDED ANY OTHER MEETINGS

There was nothing to report.

6. MINUTE NUMBER 263/07 - CLERKS REPORT

1. Proposal to spend money on the repairs to the Tennis Court Lighting and the hire of a cherry picker.

The Clerk reported that it was going to cost £465.00 + VAT to repair the lighting on the Tennis Courts. It will cost a further £300.00 roughly to hire a cherry picker for 1 day. The Clerk explained that the tennis courts were a good form of revenue but due to the problem of the broken lighting a lot of revenue was being lost due to the dark

nights. The Clerk explained that the money could come out of the £10k that SDDC gave to the Parish Council.

Resolved: The Clerk to organise for the works to be carried out.

2. Proposal to change the days that the Clerk works from the office.

The Clerk explained that Paul Watts the new Project Manager had asked if the Clerk could change her days to Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday. Changing her current Monday to Tuesday. This would enable her to be in the office on the same days as Paul, he can not do Mondays or Wednesdays due to other commitment.

Resolved: The Clerk to keep her days as Monday, Wednesday and Friday as this makes it an even week. Paul could contact the Clerk if needed by telephone or email.

3. Proposal for Pegasus Planning Group to attend the next Parish Council Meeting and give a presentation on a new development for part residential and a part offices development on Derby Road.

The Clerk explained that she had received a telephone call and email request from the above company to attend the next Parish Council Meeting and give a presentation. The Clerk explained that a planning application had been previously submitted but refused. The Pegasus Group wanted to try and get the Parish Council on side to stop it being refused in the future.

Resolved: The Clerk to inform the Pegasus Group that we can not accommodate their request as this would set a presidency for other developers within Hilton to do the same. The Clerk to explain to Pegasus that they could attend a meeting if they so desired but they would only be able to speak within the Public Participation Section of the meeting. They would not be able to give a presentation.

7. MINUTE NUMBER 264/07 – DERBYSHIRE ASSOCIATION OF LOCAL COUNCIL'S

- A) Circular No 01/2007
 - i. Index 2007
- B) Circular No 02/2007
 - i. Disability Discrimination Act
 - ii. The Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Bill
 - iii. Parishes
 - 1. Chapter 1
 - 2. Chapter 2 – Power to promote well being
 - 3. Chapter 3 – Reorganisation
 - iv. Co-operation of English Authorities with Local Partners etc
 - v. Byelaws
 - vi. Best Value
 - vii. Clerk Vacancy – North Wingfield Parish Council
- C) Circular No 03/2007
 - i. Time scales for the keeping of documentation
- D) Circular No 04/2007

- Draft Freedom of Information & Data Protection (Appropriate Limit and Fees) Regulations 2007 - Consultation Paper
- Influencing Town Planning: Collaborative working between principal Parish and Town Councils – Thursday 8th February 2007 at 11am to 3 30pm Lgiu Offices, 22 Upper Woburn Place, London. WC1H 0TB

8. MINUTE NUMBER 265/07 – FINANCE

Resolved: Accounts for payment resolved at the last meeting.

Cheque No:	Payee:	Description:	Amount
001073	Melbourne Garden Machinery	Repairs Hedge Cutter	£48.76
001074	Screw fix Direct	Waterproofs	£66.94
001075	Cancelled		
001076	D J Atkinson	Mease Project	£30,243.02
001077	J Keery	Expenses	£22.96
001078	L Kolkman	Expenses	£14.28
001079	Hilton Cricket Club	Donation	£80.00
001080	Hilton Netball	Donation	£80.00
001081	Hilton Church Pre-School	Donation	£80.00
001082	Hilton Harriers	Donation	£80.00
001083	Hilton Mums and Tots	Donation	£80.00
001084	B Dowell	Wages	£108.00
001085	C Orme	Wages	£920.35
001086	D Woolliscroft	Wages	£428.22
001087	P Stretton	Wages	£54.00
001088	Inland Revenue	NIC's	£439.66
001089	B Dowell	Internet Connection	£44.70
001090	C Orme	Expenses	£20.00

A) SDDC – Remittance Advice for £30,000.00

B) SDDC – Remittance Advice for £9,282.00 Concurrent and Lengthsman Scheme

9. MINUTE NUMBER 266/07 – ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

Resolved: To note the following information.

A) SDDC – South Derbyshire Local Strategic Partnership Annual General Meeting & Public Forum Event – 25th January 2007

B) DCC – Re-organisation of the Probation Services

C) East Staffs Borough Council – East Staffordshire Local Development Framework Draft Statement of Community Involvement.

D) Derbyshire Wildlife Trust – The Great Trees of Derbyshire Project

E) Derbyshire Rural Community Council – Winter 2006/2007 news letter

F) Teamwork's – Safer Neighbourhood Meeting – To be held at Linton Brick Rooms on 8th Feb 2007 commencing at 7pm and St Matthews Church Hall Overseal on 11th April 2007 commencing at 7pm

G) Get Active in the Forest – Help your local environment in the National Forest

H) Derbyshire Constabulary – Budget Meeting Consultation dates

I) Mark Todd MP – Information on Post Office Card Account

J) SDDC – Etwall Public Meeting to be held on 30th January 2007 at Findern Village Hall commencing at 7pm

K) DPDS Consulting – New Football Changing Room Interim Certificate No 2

L) Etwall Area Meeting Minutes dated 30th January 2007

M) Recommendations and Reports of Committees for submission to the Council at it's meeting on Thursday the 25th January 2007

N) Timberline catalogue 2007

O) SDDC – Joint Meeting with Parish Councils minutes, the next meeting will be held on Wednesday 18th April 2007 at the Council Chambers, Council Offices, Civic Way commencing at 6.30pm

12. MINUTE NUMBER 267/07 – ITEMS FOR DECISION

A) SDDC – Parish Council Websites – Clerk to forward information if agreed

Resolved: The Clerk to forward the relevant information as requested.

B) Mark Todd MP – Letter requesting information on the Mr and Mrs Price situation with regards to the dog bin.

Resolved: The Clerk to write to Mr Mark Todd with the proposed letter that has been prepared by a Parish Council Member.

C) Mr & Mrs Price – With Regards to the dog bin

Resolved: The Clerk to reply saying thank you for your comments, the Parish Council has nothing further to add.

D) Inland Revenue – With regards to the calculation of Tax for an employee of Hilton Parish Council – Clerk filled in information required and sent back on 23rd January 2007.

Resolved: The Clerk explained that this information could not wait until the meeting and needed to be completed immediately and then sent back.

E) SDDC – Small Business Relief Application for the store at Peacroft Lane – To be filled in by the Clerk and Returned.

Resolved: The Clerk to complete the form and return it to SDDC as soon as possible

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

13. MINUTE NUMBER 268/07 - PLANNING APPLICATIONS TO BE CONSIDERED

A) Reg No 9 2006 1472 FH – The erection of an extension at 16 Enfield Close, Hilton

Resolved: No objections.

B) Reg No 9 2006 1293 F – The Construction of two new retail units with 3 residential flats above at Witham Close, Hilton

Resolved: This was for information only, application has been withdrawn.

C) Reg No 9 2006 1476 FH – The conversion of the garage into living accommodation at 31 Peacroft Lane, Hilton

Resolved: No objections but conditions must be added to the application that parking facilities must always remain as this property is adjacent to the school gates and parking on the road in the future could be dangerous.

D) Reg No 9 2006 1283 F – The erection of a dwelling (amended scheme 9 2006 0288 F) at land adjacent to 66 Egginton Road, Hilton

Resolved: No objections

14. MINUTE NUMBER 269/07 – PLANNING MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

Resolved: To note the following applications.

A) Reg No 9 2006 1235 FH – The erection of an extension at 6 Farm Close, Hilton – HAS BEEN GRANTED

B) Reg No 9 2006 1322 FH – Erection of extension (amended scheme) at 8 Main Street, Hilton.

C) Reg No 9 2006 1358 FH – The erection of an extension at Red Roofs, Lucas Lane, Hilton

15. MINUTE NUMBER 270/07 - DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING

The next Parish Council meeting will be held on 28th February 2007 at the Percywood Community Lounge commencing at 7pm

16. MINUTE NUMBER 271/07 - EXEMPT ITEMS

There was nothing to report.

CONFIRMATION: _____ **DATE:** _____